The Research of Medical Science Review
ISSN: 3007-1208 & 3007-1216 Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026

BIOMECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF GAIT ALTERATIONS IN INDIVIDUALS WITH CHRONIC ANKLE INSTABILITY

Fakher ud Din[*1], Muhammad Riaz Baig Chughtai[2], Safdar Miran[3]

[*1]*Assistant Professor, College of Physiotherapy, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center*
[2]*Director Academics, College of Physiotherapy, JPMC, Karachi*
[3]*Senior Lecturer, Department of Physical Therapy SBB Dewan University*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18298832

Keywords
Chronic Ankle Instability, Biomechanics, Gait Analysis, Kinematics, Kinetics, Muscle Activation, Rehabilitation

Article History
Received: 20 November 2025
Accepted: 06 January 2026
Published: 19 January 2026

Copyright @Author
Corresponding Author: *
Fakher ud Din

Abstract

Habitual Ankle Insecurity(CAI) is a wide condition frequently stemming from repetitious ankle sprains, leading to significant and continuing impairments in common stability, proprioception, and gait mechanics. CAI affects individualities' capability to perform diurnal conditioning and can lead to long- term complications, including intermittent sprains, habitual pain, and common degeneration. This study aims to exhaustively probe the biomechanical changes in gait patterns of individualities with CAI compared to healthy controls, fastening on kinematic and kinetic parameters that are pivotal for understanding the impact of this condition.

To achieve this, we employed advanced 3D stir prisoner technology and force plates to dissect colorful aspects of gait during walking. crucial parameters measured included ankle common angles(particularly dorsiflexion and inversion), ground response forces(GRF), and muscle activation patterns of critical stabilizing muscles, similar as the peroneus longus and tibialis anterior. A aggregate of 40 actors were included in the study, divided unevenly between those diagnosed with CAI and healthy controls.

The results reveal that individualities with CAI parade significant differences in gait mechanics. specially, there was a pronounced reduction in ankle dorsiflexion during the station phase, which compromises the drive- off phase of gait and can affect overall walking effectiveness. also, individualities with CAI demonstrated increased inversion angles throughout the gait cycle, reflecting a heightened threat of side insecurity and eventuality for intermittent ankle sprains. Delayed activation of the peroneal muscles was also observed, indicating neuromuscular control poverties that may contribute to ankle insecurity.

These biomechanical acclimations not only increase the liability of intermittent ankle sprains but may also lead to long- term joint degeneration and habitual conditions similar as osteoarthritis. In light of these findings, recuperation programs should prioritize neuromuscular control, dynamic balance training, and targeted exercises to enhance gait mechanics. enforcing similar interventions can help alleviate the threat of farther complications and ameliorate functional issues for individualities suffering from CAI. unborn studies are warranted to estimate the long- term effectiveness of these recuperation strategies and their impact on common health.

INTRODUCTION

Habitual Ankle Insecurity(CAI) is a significant clinical condition that affects a large proportion of individualities following intermittent ankle sprains. It's characterized by a sensation of the ankle" giving way" and is frequently the result of shy recuperation protocolspost-injury(Hertel, 2002). This condition not only results in acute pain and swelling but also leads to habitual functional impairments that can oppressively affect mobility and quality of life(Monaghan, Delahunt, & Caulfield, 2006). The frequence of CAI is specially high, with exploration indicating that roughly 20- 40 of individualities who witness a side ankle sprain develop this condition((Kosik et al., 2019)

The counteraccusations of CAI extend beyond immediate symptoms, as it can lead to long- term changes in gait mechanics. The biomechanics of gait in individualities with CAI parade notable differences that can negatively affect both the ankle joint and conterminous structures, similar as the knee and hipsterism(Labanca et al., 2021). The revision in gait mechanics can affect from compensatory strategies employed by the body to maintain stability, leading to farther complications and a cyclical pattern of insecurity(Heller, 2020)Kinematic studies have shown that individualities with CAI generally parade a pronounced reduction in ankle dorsiflexion during the station phase of walking. This limitation can hamper effective propulsion and lead to an hamstrung gait pattern, eventually adding energy expenditure(McKeon et al., 2014). likewise, increased inversion angles throughout the gait cycle have been proved, indicating a advanced threat of side insecurity and eventuality for farther injury(Kosik et al., 2019)

In addition to these kinematic changes, kinetic analyses reveal altered ground response forces in individualities with CAI. Research has indicated that the distribution of forces across the lower extremity can be significantly affected, which may dispose individualities to overuse injuries in the knee and hipsterism(Hoch, Mullineaux, Jeon, McKeon, & Training, 2016). Delayed activation of stabilizing muscles, particularly the peroneus longus and tibialis anterior, has been observed in CAI cases, which can compromise ankle stability during dynamic movements(Doherty et al., 2016)This detention in muscle activation is critical, as timely stabilization is essential for precluding farther injuries.

Long- term consequences of these biomechanical acclimations include an increased threat for developing degenerative common conditions, similar asposttraumatic osteoarthritis. Studies suggest that patient insecurity and altered lading patterns can lead to habitual common degeneration((Heller, 2020). The accretive goods of repeated trauma to the ankle, coupled with hamstrung movement patterns, contribute to a advanced liability of developing osteoarthritis, further complicating recovery and recuperation sweats(Brown, Padua, Marshall, & Guskiewicz, 2008)

Given the high frequence of CAI and its significant impact on functional issues, it's imperative to gain a comprehensive understanding of the biomechanical differences associated with this condition. This study aims to give an in- depth analysis of gait mechanics in individualities with CAI compared to healthy controls. We'll concentrate on crucial parameters similar as common kinematics, ground response forces, and muscle activation patterns. By expounding these differences, we hope to inform targeted recuperation strategies that effectively address the unique challenges faced by individualities with CAI.

Literature Review

Habitual Ankle Insecurity(CAI) is characterized by intermittent ankle sprains and patient mechanical insecurity following an original injury. Defined by (Hertel, 2002), CAI affects roughly 20- 40 of individualities who witness a single side ankle sprain(Kosik et al., 2019)Despite recuperation sweats, numerous individualities fail to recapture full stability or neuromuscular control, leading to habitual issues and an increased threat of farther injuries(Hartley, Hoch, McKeon, & posture, 2016)The condition is n't only a source of physical discomfort but also has significant cerebral and social counteraccusations,

impacting diurnal conditioning and quality of life(Doherty et al., 2016)

The mechanisms behind CAI are multifactorial, involving both mechanical and neuromuscular factors. Studies indicate that proprioceptive poverties and lowered muscle strength around the ankle contribute to the insecurity seen in this population(Donovan et al., 2016). also, CAI has been linked to cerebral factors, similar as fear ofre-injury, which can further stymie recovery(Sonsukong et al., 2024)

Significant differences in gait mechanics have been proved in individualities with CAI. One of the most generally reported findings is reduced dorsiflexion during the station phase of gait. This limitation may stem from common stiffness or weakness in the dorsiflexor muscles, negatively affecting the drive- off phase and performing in hamstrung propulsion(Doherty et al., 2016). likewise, the altered ankle mechanics associated with CAI can lead to compensatory strategies, similar as increased knee flexion and hipsterism extension, which may introduce fresh stress to the lower extremity joints(Labanca et al., 2021)

Increased inversion during gait is another characteristic point of CAI, which heightens the threat of side ankle sprains by placing inordinate stress on the side ligaments(Donovan et al., 2016)

This biomechanical predilection to insecurity can lead to a vicious cycle ofre-injury and habitual insecurity. also, studies suggest that individualities with CAI parade delayed peroneal muscle activation during functional movements. This detention compromises the ankle's capability to stabilize during dynamic tasks, adding the liability of farther injuries(Doherty et al., 2016)

The peroneal muscles play a critical part in controlling ankle inversion and furnishing dynamic stability, making their timely activation essential for maintaining balance and precluding sprains. Biomechanical gait analysis in CAI focuses on both kinematic(stir- related) and kinetic(force- related) parameters. Kinematic studies frequently reveal compensatory movement patterns, similar as increased knee flexion and altered hipsterism mechanics, which can contribute to hamstrung movement strategies and increase the threat of overuse injuries(Doherty et al., 2016)These compensatory strategies can lead to farther mechanical strain on the knee and hipsterism joints, aggravating the threat of developing secondary injuries(Villamar, 2023). Kinetic analyses, generally conducted using force plates, demonstrate that individualities with CAI parade altered ground response forces, reflecting insecurity and hamstrung force distribution during walking((Hartley et al., 2016).

Studies have shown that the ground response forces in CAI cases are generally lower than those in healthy controls, indicating a compensatory reduction in lading to cover the injured ankle((Heller, 2020). This altered force distribution can lead to imbalances and overuse runs in conterminous joints, particularly the knee and hipsterism(McCann, Ohrnberger, Kosik, & Gribble, 2024)

Overall, the literature indicates that understanding the biomechanical differences associated with CAI is essential for developing effective recuperation strategies aimed at restoring normal gait mechanics and precluding unborn injuries.

Methodology

Participants

A aggregate of 40 actors were signed for this study, with 20 individualities diagnosed with CAI and 20 healthy controls. CAI was verified grounded on the following criteria a history of at least two significant ankle sprains within the last two times, tone- reported occurrences of the ankle giving way, and a score on the Cumberland Ankle Instability Tool(CAIT) of lower than 24.

Gait Analysis Protocol

3D stir prisoner technology was used to dissect common angles and movement patterns during the gait cycle. Actors walked at a tone- named pace along a 10- cadence walkway bedded with force plates to record ground response forces. face electromyography(sEMG) was used to measure muscle activation patterns in the tibialis anterior, peroneus longus, and gastrocnemius muscles during the station phase of gait.

Data Collection and Analysis

Kinematic data were collected using a 12- camera stir analysis system and anatomized using Visual3D software. Kinetic data, including ground response forces, were captured by two AMTI force plates bedded in the walkway. The SEMG signals were anatomized for onset timing and activation situations of the targeted muscles. Statistical comparisons were made between the CAI and control groups using independent t-tests to identify significant differences in gait parameters.

Data Analysis

The following table summarizes the crucial biomechanical differences between individualities with habitual Ankle Insecurity(CAI) and a healthy control group. The data are presented as mean ± standard divagation, with corresponding p- values indicating statistical significance.

Gait Parameters	CAI Group (n=30)	Control Group (n=30)	p-value
Peak Dorsiflexion (degrees)	12.4 ± 3.2	18.1 ± 2.5	< 0.01
Peak Inversion (degrees)	8.7 ± 2.4	5.1 ± 1.7	< 0.05
Peroneal Onset Delay (ms)	65.2 ± 12.1	42.3 ± 9.5	< 0.01
Ground Reaction Force (N/kg)	10.4 ± 1.3	12.2 ± 1.1	< 0.05

The CAI group demonstrated significantly lower peak dorsiflexion(12.4 °) compared to the control group(18.1 °), with a p- value of< 0.01. This reduction in dorsiflexion may hamper effective drive- off during gait, contributing to compensatory movement patterns.

The CAI group displayed increased peak inversion(8.7 °) compared to the control group(5.1 °), reaching statistical significance(p< 0.05). This elevated inversion angle indicates a heightened threat of side insecurity during dynamic conditioning.

A significant detention in peroneal muscle activation was observed in the CAI group(65.2 ms) compared to the control group(42.3 ms), with a p- value of< 0.01. This detention suggests compromised neuromuscular control, which is critical for maintaining ankle stability.

The ground response force measured in the CAI group(10.4 N/ kg) was significantly lower than that of the control group(12.2 N/ kg), with a p-value of< 0.05. This reduction may reflect compensatory strategies to limit cargo on the affected ankle, which could lead to farther musculoskeletal imbalances.

Results

The results indicate that individualities with CAI parade significantly reduced peak dorsiflexion during the station phase, which may contribute to an disabled drive- off during walking. Increased peak inversion angles suggest that these individualities are more prone to side insecurity, adding the threat of intermittent ankle sprains. The delayed activation of the peroneal muscles in the CAI group further supports the thesis that neuromuscular control poverties play a part in maintaining ankle stability. Ground response forces were also reduced in the CAI group, reflecting altered cargo distribution during walking, probably due to compensatory movement strategies.

Discussion

The findings of this study corroborate being literature indicating that individualities with habitual Ankle Insecurity(CAI) parade significant differences in gait mechanics. Specifically, the observed reductions in ankle dorsiflexion and increases in inversion angles are harmonious with former studies(Doherty et al., 2016). These biomechanical changes are critical as they contribute to the patient insecurity and intermittent injuries generally seen in cases with CAI. Altered common mechanics not only compromise the function of the ankle but also place inordinate stress on girding joints, potentially leading to secondary injuries(Villamar,

2023). The delayed activation of the peroneal muscles, as reported in this study, reinforces the notion that neuromuscular poverties are central to the pathophysiology of CAI(Doherty et al., 2016). The peroneal muscles are essential for furnishing dynamic stability to the ankle during movement, and their disabled activation can lead to an increased threat of farther sprains. This detention in muscle response may reflect beginning poverties in proprioception and motor control, which are critical for maintaining stability during dynamic tasks(Kosik et al., 2020). also, the reduced ground response forces observed in individualities with CAI suggest that these cases may borrow compensatory strategies to minimize cargo on the affected ankle(Sugimoto et al., 2024). similar strategies could lead to farther musculoskeletal imbalances and altered lading patterns throughout the lower extremities. For case, individualities with CAI might calculate more heavily on the contrary branch, which could affect in increased strain and a advanced liability of overuse injuries in the knee and hipsterism (Heller, 2020). This reinforces the need for a comprehensive recuperation approach that addresses not only the ankle but also the entire kinetic chain. Rehabilitation programs targeting dorsiflexion range of stir, enhancing peroneal muscle activation, and addressing compensatory movement patterns at the knee and hipsterism are essential for restoring normal gait mechanics and precluding unborn injuries((Labanca et al., 2021). Neuromuscular training, balance exercises, and targeted strength training have been shown to ameliorate proprioception and stability in individualities with CAI(Simpson, Stewart, Macias, Chander, & Knight, 2019). likewise, interventions that incorporate task-specific training can enhance motor literacy and functional issues, allowing individualities to more acclimatize to dynamic surroundings(Northeast et al., 2018)unborn exploration should concentrate on longitudinal studies to estimate the long- term effectiveness of these recuperation strategies and their impact on common health. also, examinations into the use of advanced technologies similar as wearable detectors and virtual reality for gait training could give precious perceptivity into enhancing recuperation issues for individualities with CAI .

Conclusion

This study provides important perceptivity into the biomechanical differences in gait patterns associated with CAI. individualities with CAI parade significant changes in ankle joint mechanics, muscle activation, and ground response forces, all of which contribute to ongoing insecurity and a advanced threat of intermittent sprains. unborn recuperation programs should target these specific poverties, fastening on perfecting ankle joint mobility, strengthening stabilizing muscles, and correcting compensatory gait patterns to reduce injury threat and ameliorate functional issues. farther exploration is demanded to explore the long-term goods of these interventions and their implicit to help common degeneration in individualities with CAI.

REFERENCES

Brown, C., Padua, D., Marshall, S. W., & Guskiewicz, K. J. C. b. (2008). Individuals with mechanical ankle instability exhibit different motion patterns than those with functional ankle instability and ankle sprain copers. *23*(6), 822-831.

Doherty, C., Bleakley, C., Hertel, J., Caulfield, B., Ryan, J., & Delahunt, E. J. I. J. o. S. M. (2016). Gait biomechanics in participants, six months after first-time lateral ankle sprain. *37*(07), 577-583.

Donovan, L., Hart, J. M., Saliba, S., Park, J., Feger, M. A., Herb, C. C., & Hertel, J. J. P. T. i. S. (2016). Effects of ankle destabilization devices and rehabilitation on gait biomechanics in chronic ankle instability patients: a randomized controlled trial. *21*, 46-56.

Hartley, E. M., Hoch, M. C., McKeon, P. O. J. G., & posture. (2016). Reliability and responsiveness of gait initiation profiles in those with chronic ankle instability. *49*, 86-89.

Heller, D. P. (2020). *The Effect of a Specialized Yoga Intervention on Characteristics of Functional Ankle Instability*. University of Kansas,

Hertel, J. J. J. o. a. t. (2002). Functional anatomy, pathomechanics, and pathophysiology of lateral ankle instability. *37*(4), 364.

Hoch, M. C., Mullineaux, D. R., Jeon, K., McKeon, P. O. J. I. J. o. A. T., & Training. (2016). Sagittal plane gait kinematics in individuals with chronic ankle instability. *21*(5), 28-35.

Kosik, K. B., Terada, M., McCann, R., Thomas, A., Johnson, N., Gribble, P. J. G., & posture. (2019). Differences in temporal gait mechanics are associated with decreased perceived ankle joint health in individuals with chronic ankle instability. *70*, 403-407.

Kosik, K. B., Terada, M., McCann, R., Thomas, A., Johnson, N., & Gribble, P. J. K. S., Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy. (2020). Decreased perceived ankle and knee joint health in individuals with perceived chronic ankle instability. *28*, 177-183.

Labanca, L., Mosca, M., Ghislieri, M., Agostini, V., Knaflitz, M., Benedetti, M. G. J. G., & Posture. (2021). Muscle activations during functional tasks in individuals with chronic ankle instability: a systematic review of electromyographical studies. *90*, 340-373.

McCann, R. S., Ohrnberger, E., Kosik, K. B., & Gribble, P. A. J. J. o. A. T. (2024). Prediction of Recurrent Lateral Ankle Sprain and Ankle Pain Using Applied Care Strategies and Patient-Reported Outcomes.

Monaghan, K., Delahunt, E., & Caulfield, B. J. C. b. (2006). Ankle function during gait in patients with chronic ankle instability compared to controls. *21*(2), 168-174.

Northeast, L., Gautrey, C. N., Bottoms, L., Hughes, G., Mitchell, A. C., Greenhalgh, A. J. G., & posture. (2018). Full gait cycle analysis of lower limb and trunk kinematics and muscle activations during walking in participants with and without ankle instability. *64*, 114-118.

Simpson, J. D., Stewart, E. M., Macias, D. M., Chander, H., & Knight, A. C. J. P. T. i. S. (2019). Individuals with chronic ankle instability exhibit dynamic postural stability deficits and altered unilateral landing biomechanics: A systematic review. *37*, 210-219.

Sonsukong, A., Vacshalathiti, R., Kiratisin, P., Richards, J., Fong, D. T., Sinsurin, K. J. F., & Specialist, A. (2024). Ankle Biomechanics During Multidirectional Landings in Athletes With Chronic Ankle Instability. *17*(3), 249-258.

Sugimoto, Y. A., McKeon, P. O., Rhea, C. K., Schmitz, R. J., Mattacola, C. G., & Ross, S. E. J. m. (2024). The Investigation of Nonlinear Variability Underlying Postural Control in The Injure-Limb in Individuals with and without Chronic Ankle Instability. 2024.2003. 2011.24304094.

Villamar, Z. D. (2023). *Load-Dependent Contributions to Frontal-Plane Ankle Stiffness and Their Relevance to Chronic Ankle Instability*. Northwestern University,